

Must Time Machine Be Unstable against Vacuum Fluctuations?

Li-Xin Li*

CCAST (World Laboratory) P.O.Box 8730, Beijing 100080 and
 Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University,
 Beijing 100875, China and
 Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica,
 Beijing 100080, China

February 3, 2008

Abstract

In the appearance of absorption material, the quantum vacuum fluctuations of all kinds of fields may be smoothed out and the spacetime with time machine may be stable against vacuum fluctuations. The chronology protection conjecture might break down, and the anti-chronology protection conjecture might hold: There is no law of physics preventing the appearance of closed timelike curves.

PACS number(s): 04.20.Gz, 04.62.+v

Whether or not the laws of physics permit the existence of closed timelike curves (time machines) is one of important problems in the research field of modern general relativity [1-4]. Hawking has suggested the chronology protection conjecture which states that the laws of physics do not allow the appearance of closed timelike curves [5]. This conjecture was based on the fact that several calculations about the vacuum fluctuations in the spacetime containing closed timelike curves reveal that the renormalized stress-energy tensor always diverges at the Cauchy horizon which separates the region with closed timelike curves from the region without closed causal curves, and also diverges at the polarized hypersurfaces which are nested inside the Cauchy horizon [1,6-10]. Recently Li et al have challenged the chronology protection conjecture [11,12]. They found that in some cases the renormalized stress-energy tensor of vacuum fluctuations might be convergent at the Cauchy horizon and the polarized hypersurfaces. In this paper I will show that

*Email address: lixin@eden.rutgers.edu

the appearance of absorption material in the spacetime containing closed timelike curves can cause that the renormalized stress-energy tensor of vacuum fluctuations should be convergent at the Cauchy horizon and the polarized hypersurfaces, and such a spacetime might be stable against vacuum fluctuations.

Research of high-energy scattering reveals that, if the energy $\hbar\omega$ of the incident particle is sufficiently large (the extreme relativistic limit), for many processes the total cross section σ_t increases with $\hbar\omega$, or tends to a constant. Examples are: 1). The electron-positron pair production by a photon in the photon-electron collision

$$\sigma_t = \alpha r_e^2 \left(\frac{28}{9} \ln \frac{2\hbar\omega}{m_e c^2} - 10.8 \right), \quad \hbar\omega \gg m_e c^2, \quad (1)$$

where $\hbar\omega$ is the energy of incident photon, m_e is the mass of the electron, $r_e = e^2/4\pi m_e c^2$ is the classical radius of the electron, and α is the fine structure constant [13]; 2). The cross section for electron energy loss through bremsstrahlung

$$\sigma_t = 4Z^2 \alpha^3 \left(\frac{\hbar}{m_e c} \right)^2 \left(\ln \frac{2\hbar\omega}{m_e c^2} - \frac{1}{3} \right), \quad \hbar\omega \gg m_e c^2, \quad (2)$$

for bare nuclei, where $\hbar\omega$ is the energy of the incident electron, Ze is the charge of the nuclei [14]. If the screening is considered, the cross section is [14]

$$\sigma_t = 4Z^2 \alpha^3 \left(\frac{\hbar}{m_e c} \right)^2 \left[\ln(183Z^{-1/3}) + \frac{2}{9} \right], \quad \hbar\omega \gg m_e c^2 \alpha^{-1} Z^{-1/3}. \quad (3)$$

3). For high energy inclusive neutrino-nucleon reaction

$$\sigma_t \sim \frac{G_F^2 M \hbar^3 \omega}{\pi c^4}, \quad (4)$$

where $\hbar\omega$ is the laboratory energy of the initial neutrino, M is the mass of the target nucleon, G_F is the Fermi beta-decay constant [14,15]; 4). The Drell-Yan process of muon pair production in proton-proton collision

$$\sigma_t = \frac{1}{3} \frac{4\pi \alpha^2 e_q^2}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar}{M_\mu c} \right)^2, \quad \text{as } \hbar\omega \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5)$$

where e_q is the charge of the quark in units of the electric charge, M_μ is the mass of muon pair, $\hbar\omega$ is the energy of the incident proton [16]. This is a common feature of hadron collision with high energy: the total cross section tends to a constant

$$\sigma_t \sim \left(\frac{\hbar}{m_\pi c} \right)^2, \quad (6)$$

where m_π is the mass of the pion [14,17]; 5). The pair production by graviton collisions

$$\sigma_t = \frac{38\pi}{3} \frac{G^2 (\hbar\omega)^2}{c^8}, \quad \hbar\omega \gg mc^2, \quad (7)$$

where $\hbar\omega$ is the energy of the graviton, G is the Newton gravity constant, m is the mass of the particle pair [18]. The total cross section for annihilation of a pair of pions into

gravitons at high energy is identical with (7) with $\hbar\omega$ as the energy of the pair of particles [18]. The cross section for gravitational scattering of identical particles is similar to (7)

$$\sigma_t \sim \frac{G^2(\hbar\omega)^2}{c^8}, \quad \hbar\omega \gg mc^2, \quad (8)$$

here $\hbar\omega$ is the energy of the incident particle and m is its mass [18]. By these facts, it seems that materials will become opaque at extremely high energy of incident particles or waves (including gravitons or gravitational fields), since in such a case the absorption caused by various types of scattering processes becomes very important. Especially, Eq.(8) might act as *an universal cross section for absorption* caused by scattering of all kinds of incident waves, including the scalar, the spinor, the vector, and the tensor waves (including the gravitational waves), since all particles are subject to the gravitation. For the discussion in this paper it is convenient to write Eqs.(1-8) into three classes:

i). σ_t increases with ω through

$$\sigma_t = \alpha\omega^s, \quad s > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega \gg \omega_0, \quad (9)$$

ii). σ_t increases with ω through

$$\sigma_t = s \ln \frac{\omega}{\omega_0}, \quad s > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega \gg \omega_0, \quad (10)$$

ω_0 in Eqs.(9) and (10) are some critical values of ω .

iii). σ_t tends to a constant as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sigma_t \rightarrow \pi r_0^2. \quad (11)$$

In the spacetime with closed timelike curves, the regularized Hadamard function for the scalar field is given by the expansion in the geometric-optics approximation [1,19,20]

$$G_{\text{reg}}^{(1)}(x, x') = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_N^{1/2}}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_N} + v_N \ln |\sigma_N| + w_N \right), \quad (12)$$

where σ_N , the N -th geodetic interval between x and x' , is equal to $1/2$ the square of the proper distance along the geodesic $\mathcal{G}_N$ from x' to x with the winding number N , multiplied by -1 if $\mathcal{G}_N$ is timelike and $+1$ if it is spacelike; Δ_N , v_N , and w_N are regular functions of x and x' . For the spinor field, the vector field and the tensor field (including the gravitational field), the Hadamard functions are similar to (12) [8,18,22]. We are only interested in the $1/\sigma_N$ terms in (12), since they are most important for the divergence of the renormalized stress-energy tensor of the vacuum fluctuations in the case without absorption material. Therefore we only deal with

$$G_{\text{reg}}^{(1)}(x, x') \sim \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_N^{1/2}}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{\sigma_N}, \quad (13)$$

for all kinds of quantum fields. With the method like that used by Kim and Thorne in [1], in the appearance of absorption material, $\Delta_N^{1/2}$ can be evaluated through

$$\Delta_N^{1/2} \simeq \Delta_N^{(0)1/2} \Gamma^N, \quad (14)$$

where Γ is the transmission coefficient through the material and $\Delta_N^{(0)}$ is the Δ_N function without the appearance of absorption material. If the absorption material is so dense that the cross section overlaps each other, we have $\Gamma = 0$, otherwise Γ is given by

$$\Gamma = e^{-nz\sigma_t}, \quad (15)$$

where σ_t is the total cross section, n is the number density of target particles inside the material, and z is the thickness of the material [21]. The renormalized stress-energy tensor can be computed from $G_{\text{reg}}^{(1)}$ by acting it with some second-order differential operator [8,23,24]

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{ren}} \sim - \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{6\pi^2} \frac{\Delta_N^{(0)1/2} \Gamma^N}{\sigma_N^3} t_{\mu\nu,N}, \quad (16)$$

where $t_{\mu\nu,N}$ is a regular tensor [4], $\sigma_N = \sigma_N(x, x)$, $\Delta_N^{(0)} = \Delta_N^{(0)}(x, x)$. The metric perturbations created by vacuum fluctuations can be estimated through [1,4]

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} \sim \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \Delta_N^{1/2} \frac{l_P^2}{\sigma_N} \sim \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \Delta_N^{(0)1/2} l_P^2 \frac{\Gamma^N}{\sigma_N}, \quad (17)$$

where l_P is the Planck length.

Near the Cauchy horizon and the polarized hypersurfaces, the average frequency of the wave package propagating in the spacetime has the magnitude

$$\bar{\omega} \sim \frac{cL}{\sigma_1}, \quad (18)$$

where L is the spatial distance between the identified points in the frame rest relative to the absorption material, $\bar{\omega}$ is very large since near the horizon or the polarized hypersurfaces we have $\sigma_N \sim 0$. Now I discuss the three cases listed above respectively:

Case i). Inserting (18) into (9), we have

$$\sigma_t \sim \alpha (cL)^s \sigma_1^{-s}, \quad (19)$$

From (15), (16) and (19) we have

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{ren}} \sim - \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{6\pi^2} \Delta_N^{(0)1/2} \sigma_1^{-3} \exp[-N\alpha n z (cL)^s \sigma_1^{-s}] t_{\mu\nu,N}, \quad (20)$$

where we have used $\sigma_N \sim \sigma_1$ [1,4]. For $s > 0$, we have $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{ren}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma_1 \rightarrow 0$ (approaching the Cauchy horizon or the polarized hypersurfaces). Therefore the renormalized stress-energy tensor of the vacuum fluctuations does not diverge at the Cauchy horizon and the polarized hypersurfaces. From (15), (17) and (19) we have

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} \sim \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \Delta_N^{(0)1/2} \frac{l_P^2}{\sigma_1} \exp[-N\alpha n z (cL)^s \sigma_1^{-s}], \quad (21)$$

As $\sigma_1 \rightarrow 0$, we have $\delta g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow 0$, the maximum value of $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ is at $\bar{\sigma}_1 \sim cL(\alpha n z)^{1/s}$ and

$$(\delta g_{\mu\nu})_{\text{max}} \sim \Delta_1^{(0)1/2} \frac{l_P^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1} \sim \Delta_1^{(0)1/2} l_P^2 (cL)^{-1} (\alpha n z)^{-1/s}. \quad (22)$$

If this value is much smaller than 1, the spacetime will be stable against vacuum fluctuations. If we take σ_t given by Eq.(4), then $s = 1$ and $\alpha = G_F^2 M \hbar^3 / \pi c^4$, and

$$(\delta g_{\mu\nu})_{\max} \sim \Delta_1^{(0)1/2} G_F^{-2} M^{-1} \hbar^{-3} c^3 l_P^2 (nzL)^{-1}. \quad (23)$$

If $\Delta_1^{(0)} \sim 1$, $M \sim m_e$, then $(\delta g_{\mu\nu})_{\max} \sim 10^{-44} (nzLl_P)^{-1} \ll 1$ for $nzL \gg 10^{-11} \text{cm}^{-1}$. This can be satisfied for a large range of z, L and n . For example, we take $L \sim z \sim 10^2 \text{cm}$, $n \sim 10^4 \text{cm}^{-3}$, then $(\delta g_{\mu\nu})_{\max} \sim 10^{-19}$. If σ_t is given by (8) (this is an important case since we expect that this is an universal absorption cross section), then $s = 2$ and $\alpha \sim G^2 \hbar^2 c^{-8} \sim l_P^4 c^{-2}$, and

$$(\delta g_{\mu\nu})_{\max} \sim \Delta_1^{(0)1/2} (nzL^2)^{-1/2}, \quad (24)$$

which is much smaller than 1 if $nzL^2 \gg \Delta_1^{(0)}$, this can also be satisfied for a large range of z, L and n . For example, if $\Delta_1^{(0)} \sim 1$, $L \sim z \sim 10^5 \text{cm}$, $n \sim 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}$, then $(\delta g_{\mu\nu})_{\max} \sim 10^{-10}$, this is a much smaller value which cannot destroy the background spacetime. For $n \sim 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}$, the mass density is $\rho \sim 10^{-19} \text{g/cm}^3$. The influence of the material with such a small density on the background spacetime can also be neglected.

Case ii). Inserting (18) into (10), we have

$$\sigma_t \sim s \ln(cL\omega_0^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}). \quad (25)$$

From (15), (16) and (25) we have

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{ren}} \sim - \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{6\pi^2} \Delta_N^{(0)1/2} (cL\omega_0^{-1})^{-nzs} \sigma_1^{nzs-3} t_{\mu\nu,N}, \quad (26)$$

and we find $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{ren}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma_1 \rightarrow 0$ if $nzs > 3$. From (15), (17) and (25) we find

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} \sim \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \Delta_N^{(0)1/2} l_P^2 (cL\omega_0^{-1})^{-nzs} \sigma_1^{nzs-1}, \quad (27)$$

and $\delta g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma_1 \rightarrow 0$ if $nzs > 1$. Therefore, if

$$nzs > 3, \quad (28)$$

both $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{ren}}$ and $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ tends to zero as $\sigma_1 \rightarrow 0$. Since (10) holds for $\omega \gg \omega_0$ or $cL\omega_0^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1} \gg 1$, we can estimate the maximum value of $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ by taking $cL\omega_0^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1} = 1$ or $\sigma_1 = \bar{\sigma}_1 \equiv cL\omega_0^{-1}$, then

$$(\delta g_{\mu\nu})_{\max} \sim \Delta_1^{(0)1/2} l_P^2 \omega_0 (cL)^{-1}. \quad (29)$$

For σ_t given by Eq.(1), we have $s \sim \alpha r_e^2$ and $\omega_0 \sim m_e c^2 / \hbar$, then

$$(\delta g_{\mu\nu})_{\max} \sim \Delta_1^{(0)1/2} l_P^2 (r_e L)^{-1}, \quad (30)$$

and $nzs \sim \alpha r_e^2 nz$, Eq.(28) demands

$$\alpha r_e^2 nz > 3. \quad (31)$$

If $\Delta_1^{(0)} \sim 1$ and (31) is satisfied, we always have $(\delta g_{\mu\nu})_{\max} \ll 1$ for any L with macroscopic scale. Eq.(31) can be satisfied for a large range of z and n . For example, we take $z \sim 10^4 \text{cm}$, then (31) holds for $n > 10^{24} \text{cm}^{-3}$ or $\rho > 1 \text{g/cm}^{-3}$. This can be satisfied for laboratory materials. For the cross section given by Eq.(2), we have similar results. These mean that the vacuum fluctuations of electromagnetic field and electron (positron) field can be smoothed out by introducing absorption material with mass density greater than 1g/cm^3 .

Case iii). For the cross section given by (11) it seems impossible to smooth out the vacuum fluctuations by this kind of scattering since from (15) we obtain $\Gamma = \text{constant}$. However if the absorption material is so dense that the cross section of each target particle overlaps each other, or $d \sim r_0$ where d is the average distance between the neighboring target particles, then (15) breaks down and we have $\Gamma = 0$, and both $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{ren}}$ and $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ vanishes in such a case. For the cross section given by (6) we have $d \sim r_0 \sim \hbar/m_\pi c \sim 10^{-13} \text{cm}$, such materials have the same mass density as the neutron stars. For such a high density one may argue that the gravitational field produced by the absorption material will be very great so that the great tidal force will prevent people from time travel. However, if the Schwarzschild radius r_g of the star of the absorption material is very small comparing with the radius R of the star, the gravitational field outside the star produced by itself will be very small and the time traveler can travel in time bypassing the star along a nongeodetic closed timelike curve [12]. $r_g \ll R$ is satisfied if $R \ll c/(G\rho)^{1/2} \sim 10^7 \text{cm}$ if $\rho \sim 10^{15} \text{g/cm}^3$. To prevent the star from collapsing into a black hole, R should satisfy $R < (M_{\max}/\rho)^{1/3} \sim 10^6 \text{cm}$ where $M_{\max}$ is the maximum mass of the stable neutron stars. If we take $R \sim 10^4 \text{cm}$, the gravitational field outside the star produced by itself should be very small since $r_g/R \sim 10^{-6}$ and such a star should be stable. (If Eq.(8) does be a universal cross section for absorption, material with such a high density will not be necessary for smoothing out the vacuum fluctuations.)

From above discussions, we find that if absorption material with appropriate density is introduced, all vacuum fluctuations may be smoothed out such that the metric perturbation created by vacuum fluctuations will be very small and the spacetime with time machine may be stable against vacuum fluctuations. Eq.(8) may be an universal cross section for absorption of all kinds of fields since we know that all particles are subject to the gravitation. If this is correct, then the quantum vacuum fluctuations of all kinds of fields may be smoothed out in the appearance of absorption material with the number density greater than $n_0 = (zL^2)^{-1}$, or the mass density greater than $\rho_0 = m_n/zL^2$ where m_n is the mass of nuclei. If we take $z \sim L \sim 10^4 \text{cm}$, then $\rho_0 \sim 10^{-36} \text{g/cm}^3$, the average mass density of the cosmic galaxies is greater than this value.

The conclusion is: The renormalized stress-energy tensor of vacuum fluctuations may be smoothed out by introducing absorption material, such that the spacetime containing time machines may be stable against vacuum fluctuations of all kinds of fields (including the gravitational field). Contrary to the chronology protection conjecture, I give the *anti-chronology protection conjecture*: *There is no law of physics preventing the appearance of closed timelike curves.*

I am very thankful to L. Liu for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] S. -W. Kim and K. S. Thorne, *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 3929 (1991).
- [2] J. L. Friedman, in *Proceedings of the 4-th Canadian Conference on General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics*, edited by G. Kunstatter, D. E. Vincent, and J. G. Williams (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).
- [3] K. S. Thorne, *Do the Laws of Physics Permit Closed Timelike Curves?* (Caltech preprint GRP-251, 1991).
- [4] K. S. Thorne, *Closed Timelike Curves* (Caltech preprint GRP-340, 1993).
- [5] S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 603 (1992).
- [6] W. A. Hiscock and D. A. Konkowski, *Phys. Rev. D* **26**, 1225 (1982).
- [7] V. P. Frolov, *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 3878 (1991).
- [8] G. Klinkhammer, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 3388 (1992).
- [9] J. D. E. Grant, *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 2388 (1993).
- [10] M. Lyutikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 4041 (1994).
- [11] L. -X. Li, J. -M. Xu, and L. Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, 4735 (1993).
- [12] L. -X. Li, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, R6037 (1994).
- [13] A. I. Akhiezer and V. B. Berestetskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1965).
- [14] O. Nachtmann, *Elementary Particle Physics: Concepts and Phenomena* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990).
- [15] D. C. Cheng and G. K. O'Neill, *Elementary Particle Physics: An Introduction* (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, London, 1979).
- [16] R. D. Field, *Applications of Perturbative QCD* (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, New York, 1989).
- [17] M. L. Perl, *High Energy Hadron Physics* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, 1974).
- [18] B. S. De Witt, *Phys. Rev.* **162**, 1239 (1967).
- [19] M. Visser, *Nucl. Phys. B* **416**, 895 (1994).
- [20] B. S. De Witt, *Phys. Rep.* **19**, 295 (1975).
- [21] C. J. Joachain, *Quantum Collision Theory* (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1975).

- [22] B. S. DeWitt and R. W. Brehme, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **9**, 220 (1960).
- [23] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1982).
- [24] R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 1477 (1978).
- [25] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984).